ORDINANCE APPENDIX C-1 DRAINAGE PLAN APPLICATION

Application is hereby made for review of the Stormwater Management Plan and related data as submitted herewith in accordance with the Maxatawny Township Stormwater Management Ordinance. Final Plan Preliminary Plan Sketch Plan Date of Submission _____ Submission No. 1. Name of subdivision or development_____ 2. Name of Applicant ______ Telephone No._____ (if corporation, list the corporation's name and the names of two officers of the corporation) Officer 2 Address Applicants interest in subdivision or development (if other than property owner give owners name and address) 3. Name of property owner _______Telephone No._____ Address Zip 4. Name of engineer or surveyor ______ Telephone No. Address Zip_____ 5. Type of subdivision or development proposed: ____ Townhouses ____ Commercial (Multi-Lot) Single-Family Lots ____ Garden Apartments ___ Two Family Lots ____ Commercial (One-Lot) Mobile-Home Park Multi-Family Lots ___ Industrial (Multi-Lot) Campground Industrial (One-Lot)
Other (______) Cluster Type Lots Planned Residential Development

6. Lineal feet of new road proposed_____

__ L.F.

)

| | | a. Existing (to remain) S.F % of Property |
|---|----|--|
| | | a. Existing (to remain) S.F. % of Property b. Proposed S.F. % of Property |
| | 8. | Stormwater |
| | | a. Does the peak rate of runoff from proposed conditions exceed that flow which occur for existing conditions for the designated design storm? |
| | | b. Design storm utilized (on-site conveyance systems) (24 hr.) No. of Subarea Watershed Name |
| | | Explain: |
| | | c. Does the submission and/or district meet the release rate criteria for the applical subarea? |
| • | | d. Number of subarea(s) from Ordinance Appendix D of the Sacony Creek Watersh Stormwater Management Plan. |
| | | e. Type of proposed runoff control |
| | | f. Does the proposed stormwater control criteria meet the requirement/guidelines of t Stormwater Ordinances? |
| | | If not, what variances/waivers are requested? |
| | | Reasons |
| | 1 | Does the plan meet the requirements of Article III of the Stormwater Ordinances? |
| | | If not, what variances/waivers are requested? |
| | - | Reasons Why |
| | b | Was TR-55, June 1986 utilized in determining the time of concentration? |
| | | |

|) | 3 | j. Is a hydraulic routing through the stormwater control structure submitted? | |
|---|--------|--|-------------|
| | I | k. Is a construction schedule or staging attached? | _ |
| , | 1 | . Is a recommended maintenance program attached? | |
| | 9. I | Erosion and Sediment Pollution Control (E&S): | |
| | а | Has the stormwater management and E&S plan, supporting documentation and nar- been submitted to the Berks County Conservation District? | rative |
| , | ъ | S.F. | |
| | 10., V | Vetlands | |
| | а | Have the wetlands been delineated by someone trained in wetland delineation? | * |
| | ъ | . Have the wetland lines been verified by a state or federal permitting authority? | |
| | c. | Have the wetland lines been surveyed? | |
| | đ. | . Total acreage of wetland within the property | |
| 1 | e. | Total acreage of wetland disturbed | |
| | f. | Supporting documentation | |
| • | 11. Fi | iling | |
| | a. | Has the required fee been submitted? | - |
| | | Amount | |
| | b. | Has the proposed schedule of construction inspection to be performed by the Applica engineer been submitted? | |
| | c. | Name of individual who will be making the inspections | |
| | đ. | General comments about stormwater management at the development | |

e ad w

.

,

•

CERTIFICATE OF OWNERSHIP AND ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF APPLICATION:

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA COUNTY OF BERKS

| On this the | day ofthat the application was and does hereby agree v | , 20, before me, the undersigned officer,who being duly sworn, according to law, deposesowners of the property described in this s made with knowledge with the said application and to the submission of the |
|---|--|---|
| | | Property Owner |
| My Commission Notary Public | Expires | 20 |
| THE UNDERSIC AND BELIEF T AND CORRECT | HE INFORMATION A | FIES THAT TO THE BEST OF HIS KNOWLEDGE ND STATEMENTS GIVEN ABOVE ARE TRUE |
| SIGNATURE OF | APPLICANT | |
| /////////////////////////////////////// | | |
| (Inform | ation Below This Line To | o Be Completed By Maxatawny Township) |
| | Maxata | wny Township official submission receipt: |
| Date complete app | lication received | Plan Number |
| Fees | date fees paid | received by |
| | | |
| | | |
| <u>N</u> | laxatawny Township | |

Drainage Plan Proposed Schedule Of Fees

| S | ubdivision nameS | Submittal No |
|----|---|----------------|
| 0 | wnerI | Date |
| E | ngineer | |
| 1. | Filing fee | \$ |
| 2. | Land use 2a. Subdivision, campgrounds, mobile home parks multi-family dwelling where the units are loca in the same local watershed. | as, and \$ated |
| | 2b. Multi-family dwelling where the designated or space is located in a different local watershed the proposed units. | pen \$ from |
| | 2c. Commercial/industrial. | \$ |
| 3. | Relative amount of earth disturbance 3a. Residential | |
| | road <500 l.f. road 500-2,640 l.f. road >2,640 l.f. | \$ \$ |
| | 3b. Commercial/industrial and other impervious area <3,500 s.f. impervious area 3,500-43,460 s.f. | \$\$ \$\$ |
| 4 | impervious area >43,560 s.f. Relative size of project 4a. Total tract area <1 ac | \$ |
| | 1-5 ac 5-25 ac 25-100 ac | \$ |
| | 100-200 ac >200 ac | \$ \$ |
| 5. | Stormwater control measures 5a. Detention basins & other controls which require a review of hydraulic routings (\$ per control). | \$ |
| | 5b. Other control facilities which require storage volume calculations but no hydraulic routings. (\$ per control) | \$ |
| 6. | Site inspection (\$ per inspection) | \$ |
| | Total | \$ |

All subsequent reviews shall be 1/4 the amount of the initial review fee unless a new application is required as per Section 406 of the stormwater ordinance. A new fee shall be submitted with each revision in accordance with this schedule.

ORDINANCE APPENDIX C-2 DRAINAGE PLAN CHECKLIST

| Project: | |
|---|---|
| initiality. | |
| Engineer: | |
| Submittal No: | |
| Date: | |
| Project ID: | (for Municipal use ONLY) LATED ACTIVITIES Osed Project within the Sacony Creek watershed? Yes No oposed Project meet the definition of a "Regulated Activity"? Yes No checked NO for either of the above questions, you are not required to submit a Storm Water ader the Sacony Creek Storm Water management Ordinance. PITON Ozers to the total parcel configuration on and includes any subdivision of large |
| SECTION I: REGULATED ACTIVITIES | |
| Reference: Section 105 | |
| 1. Is the Proposed Project within the Szcony Cree | ek watershed? Yes No |
| 2. Does the Proposed Project meet the definition | of a "Regulated Activity"? Tyes No |
| TOP – If you have checked NO for either of the above Annagement Plan under the Sacony Creek Storm Water | questions, you are not required to submit a Storm Water |
| ECTION II: EXEMPTION eference: Section 402 | |
| eference: Section 402 ote: Parent Tract refers to the total parcel configuration | onand includes any subdivision of lands |
| eference: Section 402 | onand includes any subdivision of lands |
| eference: Section 402 ote: Parent Tract refers to the total parcel configuration hich may have occurred after than date. | |
| ote: Parent Tract refers to the total parcel configuration hich may have occurred after than date. arent Tract Area: acres otal Existing Impervious Area (as of): | acres acres |
| ote: Parent Tract refers to the total parcel configuration hich may have occurred after than date. Tract Area:acres otal Existing Impervious Area (as of): otal New Impervious Area (all Phases): | acres acres pendix I Parcel <u>IS NOT</u> Exempt |
| ote: Parent Tract refers to the total parcel configuration hich may have occurred after than date. acres otal Existing Impervious Area (as of): otal New Impervious Area (all Phases): recel IS Exempt from drainage plan submittal refer to an | acres acres pendix I Parcel <u>IS NOT</u> Exempt |
| ote: Parent Tract refers to the total parcel configuration hich may have occurred after than date. Event Tract Area:acres otal Existing Impervious Area (as of): otal New Impervious Area (all Phases): recel IS Exempt from drainage plan submittal refer to ap | acres acres pendix I Parcel <u>IS NOT</u> Exempt |

| SECTI | ON III: NONSTRUCTURAL PROJECT DESIGN (Continued) | - |
|---------|---|---|
| 2. | Are any of the following Environmentally Sensitive areas identified on site? | |
| | Steep Slopes Yes No Unknown Ponds / Lakes / Vernal Pools Yes No Unknown Streams Yes No Unknown Wetlands Yes No Unknown Hydric Soils Yes No Unknown Flood plains Yes No Unknown Stream Buffer Zones Yes No Unknown Hydrologic Soil Groups A or B Yes No Unknown Recharge Areas Yes No Unknown Others: Yes No Unknown | |
| 3. | Does the site layout plan avoid Environmentally Sensitive Areas identified on site? | |
| , | Yes No, Explain | |
| | | |
| 4. | Has a stream buffer been established per Section 306.F.? | |
| | Yes No, Explain | |
| | | |
| | | |
| SECTIO | ON IV: GROUNDWATER RECHARGE | |
| Referen | ice: Section 305 | |
| 1. | Is the proposed activity considered a "Stormwater Hotspot" as defined in Section 305.C.? Yes No | |
| 2. | Have provisions been installed to promote groundwater recharge on site? | |
| | Yes No, Explain | |
| | | |
| 3. | Total Recharge Volume Required: cubic feet (using: Method A; Method B) | |
| 4. | How is the Required Recharge Volume being addressed? | |
| | Infiltration Trench Dry Swales Infiltration Basin Other: Bioretention | |

)

()

| ١. | Have provisions been installed to address stormwater runoff water quality on site? | |
|-----------------------|---|---------------|
| | Yes No, Explain | |
| | | |
| | | |
| 2. | Total Water Quality Volume Required: acre feet | |
| 3. | Is the site in a Special Protection watershed which includes Exceptional Value (EV) of Hi waters? Yes No | gh Quality (H |
| 4. | How is the Required Water Quality Volume being addressed? | |
| | Wet Detention Basin Sand Filter Extended Dry Detention Basin Constructed Wetlands Bioretention Other: | <u></u> |
| SECTIO | N VI: STREAMBANK PROTECTION | |
| | | |
| Keterenc | | |
| | e: Section 307 | |
| | | ow? |
| | Has the 2- year proposed conditions flow been reduced to the 1- year existing conditions flo | ow? |
| | Has the 2- year proposed conditions flow been reduced to the 1- year existing conditions flo | ow? - |
| | Has the 2- year proposed conditions flow been reduced to the 1- year existing conditions flo | <u>.</u> |
| 1. | Has the 2- year proposed conditions flow been reduced to the 1- year existing conditions flow Yes No, Explain | <u>.</u> |
| 1. 2. I | Has the 2- year proposed conditions flow been reduced to the 1- year existing conditions flow Yes No, Explain Does the proposed conditions 1- year storm drain over a minimum 24- hour period? | <u>.</u> |
| 1. 2. I | Has the 2- year proposed conditions flow been reduced to the 1- year existing conditions flow Yes No, Explain | <u>.</u> |
| 1. 2. I | Has the 2- year proposed conditions flow been reduced to the 1- year existing conditions flow Yes No, Explain Does the proposed conditions 1- year storm drain over a minimum 24- hour period? | <u>.</u> |
| 1. 2. I | Has the 2- year proposed conditions flow been reduced to the 1- year existing conditions flow Yes No, Explain Does the proposed conditions 1- year storm drain over a minimum 24- hour period? Yes No, Explain | <u>.</u> |
| 1. 2. I | Has the 2- year proposed conditions flow been reduced to the 1- year existing conditions flow Yes No, Explain Does the proposed conditions 1- year storm drain over a minimum 24- hour period? | <u>.</u> |
| 2. I | Has the 2- year proposed conditions flow been reduced to the 1- year existing conditions flow Yes No, Explain Does the proposed conditions 1- year storm drain over a minimum 24- hour period? Yes No, Explain | <u>.</u> |
| 2. I | Has the 2- year proposed conditions flow been reduced to the 1- year existing conditions flow Yes No, Explain Does the proposed conditions 1- year storm drain over a minimum 24- hour period? Yes No, Explain VII: OVERBANK AND EXTREME STORM EVENTS Section 308 | <u>.</u> |
| 2. I | Has the 2- year proposed conditions flow been reduced to the 1- year existing conditions flow yes No, Explain Does the proposed conditions 1- year storm drain over a minimum 24- hour period? Yes No, Explain VII: OVERBANK AND EXTREME STORM EVENTS Section 308 which of the following Storm Water Management District(s) is the site located? | <u>.</u> |
| 2. I | Has the 2- year proposed conditions flow been reduced to the 1- year existing conditions flow Yes No, Explain Does the proposed conditions 1- year storm drain over a minimum 24- hour period? Yes No, Explain VII: OVERBANK AND EXTREME STORM EVENTS Section 308 | <u>.</u> |
| 2. I ECTION eference: | Has the 2- year proposed conditions flow been reduced to the 1- year existing conditions flow yes No, Explain Does the proposed conditions 1- year storm drain over a minimum 24- hour period? Yes No, Explain VII: OVERBANK AND EXTREME STORM EVENTS Section 308 which of the following Storm Water Management District(s) is the site located? | <u>.</u> |

SECTION V: WATER QUALITY

SECTION VIII: CALCULATION METHODOLOGY

Reference: Section 309 1. Which method(s) are utilized in the site stormwater management plan for computing stormwater runoff rates and volumes? TR-20 **PSRM** TR-55 Rational Method HEC-1/HEC-HMS Other:____ 2. Was Table B-1 or Figure B-4 utilized in rainfall determination? Yes No, Explain 3. Was Table B-2 (Runoff Curve Numbers) or Table B-3 (Rational Runoff Coefficients) utilized in calculations for runoff? Yes No, Explain 4. For any proposed storm water detention facility, were the appropriate design storms routed through the facility using the Storage-Indication Method? Yes No, Explain SECTION IX: OTHER REQUIREMENTS Reference: Section 310 1. Is this project subject to PENNDOT approval? Yes No a. If "YES" have these plans been forwarded to PENNDOT for review? Yes No, Explain_ 2. Have proposed wet detention basins incorporated biologic control consistent with the West Nile Guidelines presented in Appendix J? Yes No Not Applicable 3. Are any proposed stormwater facilities subject to PADEP Chapter 105 permitting? Yes No

| | ☐ Yes [| ☐ No, Explain | | | - | | |
|-----------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|--|----------------|--------------|----------|
| SECTION V. T. | A OTT PTW AND | · | **** | | | | |
| Reference: Section | | ERATION AND 1 | AAINTENAN | ICE PLAN | | | |
| I. Has a Si | | ontrol and BMP Op | | allala la companione de | | | Iunicipa |
| 2. Who sha Mainten | all assume res ance Plan? | ponsibility for imp | olementing the | e Stormwater | Control and Bl | MP Operation | s and |
| | | micipality vate Owner | | | Association | | |
| | | | • | | | | ٠ |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | - | , | | | | |
| | | | ٠ | | | · | |
| ı | | | • | | | | |
| | | · | | | | | |
| | | | , | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | . • | | | • | |
| · | | | | | | | |

.